

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/071,040		02/08/2002	Tonya M. McBride	01464-067001 / TPV/SEBC		
26161	7590	06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
	RICHARD NKLIN ST	SON PC	MULLIS, JEFFREY C			
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1711		
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/071,040	MCBRIDE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	_	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.					
	•	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the morits is				
•	• •						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)🛛 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s	s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
	Idon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ppilodion (1 10-102)				

Serial No. 10/071,040

Art Unit 1711

This Office action is in resonse to applicants' RCE request of 2-23-04.

All remaining rejections and/or objections follow.

Claims 1-5 and 7-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation that the thermoplastic vulcanizate contains substantially fully cross-linked rubber and that the rubber is derived from a polymer that includes terminal and non-terminal unsaturation was not present in the specification as filed. Similarly the limitation that the styrenic block copolymer is uncross-linked and that the ethylene propylene diene copolymer rubber is substantially fully cross-linked was not present in the specification as filed and these limitations are therefore new matter.

Claims 1-5 and 7-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

The term "substantially" is relative nor does the specification provide any guidance as to how much substantially

Serial No. 10/071,040

1711

Art Unit

might embrace and therefore the term "substantially" is unclear.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mitsubishi Yuka (JP 60-166339), Japanese patent as well as CAPLUS AM 1986:20572, Abstracting said Japanese patent.

Mitsubishi Yuka discloses a composition containing a hydrogenated block copolymer as well as mineral oil. Note the translation provided by applicants of the Japanese patent. Note

Serial No. 10/071,040

Art Unit 1711

the CAPLUS Abstract which discloses that conjugated diene rubber as well as polypropylene and ethylene propylene copolymer is added. As the block copolymer is saturated, it would not cross-link therefore could be viewed as uncross-linked. As the CAPLUS Abstract discloses that diene rubber is present, the limitation of "a polymer that includes terminal and non-terminal unsaturation" is met by the patent.

Applicants' arguments filed 3-23-04 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicants' remarks regarding all previous rejections and/or objections are most since all previous rejections and objections have been withdrawn.

With regard to applicants' allegation that support exists for the limitation that "the rubber is derived from a polymer that includes terminal, and non-terminal unsaturation" by virtue of the fact that the use of EPDM is disclosed is not agreed with since a species does not support a genus.

This Office action is not being made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

Serial No. 10/071,040

Art Unit 1711

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

J. Mullis:cdc
June 7, 2004

Jeffrey Muliis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711